

HALF LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN INDEFINITE TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD WITH A SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

DAE HO JIN

ABSTRACT. We study half lightlike submanifolds M of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a semi-symmetric metric connection subject to the conditions that the characteristic vector field ζ of \bar{M} is identical with the indefinite trans-Sasakian structure vector field $\bar{\zeta}$ of \bar{M} and ζ is tangent to M . Under the same conditions, we also characterize half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_2)$ with a semi-symmetric metric connection.

1. INTRODUCTION

A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is said to be a *semi-symmetric connection* if its torsion tensor \bar{T} satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{T}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})\bar{Y},$$

where θ is a 1-form associated with a smooth unit vector field ζ , which is called the *characteristic vector field*, by $\theta(\bar{X}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \zeta)$. Moreover, if this connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, *i.e.*, it satisfies $\bar{\nabla}\bar{g} = 0$, then $\bar{\nabla}$ is called a *semi-symmetric metric connection*. The notion of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold was introduced by Yano [13]. In the followings, we denote by \bar{X} , \bar{Y} and \bar{Z} the smooth vector fields on \bar{M} .

Remark 1.1. Denote $\tilde{\nabla}$ by the Levi-Civita connection of the manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) with respect to the metric \bar{g} . It is well known that a linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} is a semi-symmetric metric connection if and only if it satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{Y} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\bar{Y} + \theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta.$$

A submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called *lightlike submanifold* if $Rad(TM) = TM \cap TM^\perp \neq \{0\}$, where TM and TM^\perp are the tangent and normal bundle of M , respectively. A codimension 2 lightlike submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called

- (1) *half lightlike submanifold* if $rank\{Rad(TM)\} = 1$,
- (2) *coisotropic submanifold* if $rank\{Rad(TM)\} = 2$.

Half lightlike submanifold was introduced by Duggal-Bejancu [4] and later, studied by Duggal-Jin [6]. It is a special case of r -lightlike submanifold [5]. Its geometry is more general than that of lightlike hypersurface or coisotropic submanifold of codimension 2. Much of its theory will be immediately generalized in a formal way to general r -lightlike submanifolds.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25, 53C40, 53C50.

Key words and phrases. semi-symmetric metric connection, half lightlike submanifold, indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold, indefinite generalized Sasakian space form.

The notion of a trans-Sasakian manifold, of type (α, β) , was introduced by Oubina [12]. Sasakian, Kenmotsu and cosymplectic manifolds are three important kinds of trans-Sasakian manifold given respectively by

$$\alpha = \varepsilon, \beta = 0, \quad \alpha = 0, \beta = \varepsilon, \quad \alpha = \beta = 0, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

The generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ was introduced by Alegre *et. al.* [1]. Sasakian, Kenmotsu and cosymplectic space forms are three important kinds of generalized Sasakian space form given respectively by

$$f_1 = \frac{c+3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c-1}{4}; \quad f_1 = \frac{c-3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c+1}{4}; \quad f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c}{4},$$

where c is a constant J-sectional curvature of each space forms.

In this paper, we study half lightlike submanifolds M of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a semi-symmetric metric connection, in which the characteristic vector field ζ is identical with the structure vector field ζ of the indefinite trans-Sasakian structure $(J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g})$ of \bar{M} and ζ is tangent to M . In Section 4, we characterize half lightlike submanifolds equipped with recurrent or Lie recurrent structure tensor field F . In Section 5, we study half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a semi-symmetric metric connection.

2. SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTIONS

An odd-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called an *indefinite almost contact metric manifold* if there exists a set $\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$, where J is a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field, ζ is a vector field and θ is a 1-form such that

$$(2.1) \quad J^2 \bar{X} = -\bar{X} + \theta(\bar{X})\zeta, \quad \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \epsilon\theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Y}), \quad \theta(\zeta) = 1, \\ J\zeta = 0, \quad \theta \circ J = 0, \quad \theta(\bar{X}) = \epsilon\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \zeta), \quad \bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = -\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y}),$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ or -1 according as ζ is spacelike or timelike, respectively.

In the entire discussion of this article, we shall assume that the structure vector field ζ is a spacelike one, *i.e.*, $\epsilon = 1$, without loss of generality.

Definition. An indefinite almost contact metric manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is said to be an *indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold* [12] if there exist a Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ with respect to \bar{g} and two smooth functions α and β such that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J)\bar{Y} = \alpha\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X}\} + \beta\{\bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X}\}.$$

$\{J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g}\}$ is called an *indefinite trans-Sasakian structure of type* (α, β) .

Using (1.2), (2.1) and the facts that $J\zeta = 0$ and $\theta \circ J = 0$, we see that

$$(2.2) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}} J)\bar{Y} = \alpha\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \theta(\bar{Y})\bar{X}\} \\ + (\beta + 1)\{\bar{g}(J\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\zeta - \theta(\bar{Y})J\bar{X}\}.$$

Replacing \bar{Y} by ζ to (2.2) and using $J\zeta = 0$ and $\theta(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\zeta) = 0$, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\zeta = -\alpha J\bar{X} + (\beta + 1)\{\bar{X} - \theta(\bar{X})\zeta\}.$$

Let (M, g) be a half lightlike submanifold of \bar{M} . As $\text{rank}(\text{Rad}(TM)) = 1$, there exist two complementary non-degenerate vector bundles $S(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$ of $\text{Rad}(TM)$ in TM and TM^\perp , respectively, which are called *screen distribution* and *co-screen distribution* of M , such that

$$TM = \text{Rad}(TM) \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM), \quad TM^\perp = \text{Rad}(TM) \oplus_{\text{orth}} S(TM^\perp),$$

where \oplus_{orth} denotes the orthogonal direct sum. Denote by $F(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M and by $\Gamma(E)$ the $F(M)$ module of smooth sections of a vector bundle E over M . Also denote by $(2.1)_i$ the i -th equation of the seven equations in (2.1). We use the same notations for any others. Choose L as a unit spacelike vector field on $S(TM^\perp)$, no loss of generality. Consider the orthogonal complementary distribution $S(TM)^\perp$ to $S(TM)$ in TM . Certainly, $Rad(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$ are vector subbundles of $S(TM)^\perp$. As the co-screen distribution $S(TM^\perp)$ is non-degenerate, we have

$$S(TM)^\perp = S(TM^\perp) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp)^\perp,$$

where $S(TM^\perp)^\perp$ is the orthogonal complementary to $S(TM^\perp)$ in $S(TM)^\perp$. For any null section ξ of $Rad(TM)$, there exists a uniquely defined lightlike vector bundle $ltr(TM)$ and a null vector field N of $ltr(TM)$ satisfying

$$\bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1, \bar{g}(N, N) = \bar{g}(N, X) = \bar{g}(N, L) = 0, \forall X \in \Gamma(S(TM)).$$

We call N , $ltr(TM)$ and $tr(TM) = S(TM^\perp) \oplus_{orth} ltr(TM)$ the *null transversal vector field*, *lightlike transversal vector bundle* and *transversal vector bundle* of M with respect to $S(TM)$, respectively [6]. $T\bar{M}$ is decomposed as

$$\begin{aligned} T\bar{M} &= TM \oplus tr(TM) = \{Rad(TM) \oplus tr(TM)\} \oplus_{orth} S(TM) \\ &= \{Rad(TM) \oplus ltr(TM)\} \oplus_{orth} S(TM) \oplus_{orth} S(TM^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by X, Y and Z the smooth vector fields on M , unless otherwise specified. Let P be the projection morphism of TM on $S(TM)$. The local Gauss and Weingarten formulae of M and $S(TM)$ are given by

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)N + D(X, Y)L,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \tau(X)N + \rho(X)L,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X L = -A_L X + \phi(X)N;$$

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + C(X, PY)\xi,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi^* X - \tau(X)\xi,$$

respectively, where ∇ and ∇^* are linear connections on M and $S(TM)$, respectively, B and D are the *local second fundamental forms* of M , C is the *local second fundamental form* on $S(TM)$. A_N, A_L and A_ξ^* are the *shape operators* and τ, ρ and ϕ are 1-forms on M .

Using (1.1) and (2.4), we see that B and D are symmetric, and

$$(2.9) \quad (\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = B(X, Y)\eta(Z) + B(X, Z)\eta(Y),$$

$$(2.10) \quad T(X, Y) = \theta(Y)X - \theta(X)Y,$$

where T is the torsion tensor with respect to ∇ and η is a 1-form such that

$$\eta(X) = \bar{g}(X, N).$$

From the facts that $B(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, \xi)$ and $D(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, L)$, we show that B and D are independent of the choice of the screen distribution $S(TM)$. Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\bar{g}(\xi, \xi) = 0, \bar{g}(\xi, L) = 0, \bar{g}(N, N) = 0$ and $\bar{g}(N, L) = 0$ by turns and using (1.1) and (2.4) \sim (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad B(X, \xi) = 0, \quad D(X, \xi) = -\phi(X),$$

$$(2.12) \quad \bar{g}(A_N X, N) = 0, \quad \bar{g}(A_L X, N) = \rho(X).$$

The second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by

$$(2.13) \quad B(X, Y) = g(A_\xi^* X, Y),$$

$$(2.14) \quad D(X, Y) = g(A_L X, Y) - \phi(X)\eta(Y),$$

$$(2.15) \quad C(X, PY) = g(A_N X, PY).$$

From (2.8), (2.11)₁ and (2.13), A_ξ^* is $S(TM)$ -value and satisfies

$$(2.16) \quad A_\xi^* \xi = 0.$$

Definition. A half lightlike submanifold M of a semi-Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) is called *statical* [9] if $\bar{\nabla}_X L \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

From (2.6) and (2.12)₂, we show that the above definition is equivalent to the conditions: $\phi = 0$ and $\rho = 0$. $\phi = 0$ is equivalent to the conception: M is *irrotational*, i.e., $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ [11]. $\rho = 0$ is equivalent to the conception: M is *solenoidal*, i.e., $A_L X \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ [10].

3. STRUCTURE EQUATIONS OF M

It is known [7] that, for a half lightlike submanifold M of an indefinite almost contact manifold \bar{M} , there exists a screen distribution $S(TM)$ such that the vector bundles $J(Rad(TM))$, $J(ltr(TM))$ and $J(S(TM^\perp))$ are subbundles of $S(TM)$ with mutually trivial intersections, of rank 1. Thus

$$J(Rad(TM)) \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp))$$

is a subbundle of $S(TM)$, of rank 3. Now we shall assume that the structure vector field ζ of \bar{M} is tangent to M . Călin [2] proved that if ζ is tangent to M , then it belongs to $S(TM)$. Then there exist two non-degenerate almost complex distributions H_o and H on M with respect to J such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(TM) &= J(Rad(TM)) \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp)) \oplus_{orth} H_o, \\ H &= \{Rad(TM) \oplus_{orth} J(Rad(TM))\} \oplus_{orth} H_o. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the decomposition form of TM is reduced to

$$(3.1) \quad TM = H \oplus J(ltr(TM)) \oplus_{orth} J(S(TM^\perp)).$$

Consider two null and one spacelike vector fields $\{U, V\}$ and W such that

$$(3.2) \quad U = -JN, \quad V = -J\xi, \quad W = -JL.$$

Denote by S the projection morphism of TM on H with respect to the decomposition (3.1). Any vector field X on M is expressed as follows

$$X = SX + u(X)U + w(X)W,$$

where u, v and w are 1-forms locally defined on M by

$$(3.3) \quad u(X) = g(X, V), \quad v(X) = g(X, U), \quad w(X) = g(X, W).$$

Using (3.2), the action JX of X by J is expressed as follow:

$$(3.4) \quad JX = FX + u(X)N + w(X)L,$$

where F is a tensor field of type (1, 1) globally defined on M by $F = J \circ S$. Applying J to (3.4) and using (2.1) and (3.2), we have

$$(3.5) \quad F^2 X = -X + u(X)U + w(X)W + \theta(X)\zeta.$$

We say that F is the *structure tensor field* of M .

Substituting (3.4) into (2.3) and using (2.4), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla_X \zeta = -\alpha FX + (\beta + 1)\{X - \theta(X)\zeta\},$$

$$(3.7) \quad B(X, \zeta) = -\alpha u(X), \quad D(X, \zeta) = -\alpha w(X).$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\bar{g}(\zeta, N) = 0$ and using (2.3), (2.5) and (2.15), we have

$$(3.8) \quad C(X, \zeta) = -\alpha v(X) + (\beta + 1)\eta(X).$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to (3.2) ~ (3.4) and using (2.2), (2.4)~(2.6), (2.8), (2.11), (2.13)~(2.15) and (3.2)~(3.4), we have

$$(3.9) \quad B(X, U) = C(X, V), \quad D(X, U) = C(X, W), \quad D(X, V) = B(X, W),$$

$$(3.10) \quad \nabla_X U = F(A_N X) + \tau(X)U + \rho(X)W \\ - \{\alpha\eta(X) + (\beta + 1)v(X)\}\zeta,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \nabla_X V = F(A_\xi^* X) - \tau(X)V - \phi(X)W - (\beta + 1)u(X)\zeta,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \nabla_X W = F(A_L X) + \phi(X)U - (\beta + 1)w(X)\zeta,$$

$$(3.13) \quad (\nabla_X F)Y = u(Y)A_N X + w(Y)A_L X - B(X, Y)U - D(X, Y)W \\ + \alpha\{g(X, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)X\} \\ + (\beta + 1)\{\bar{g}(JX, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)FX\},$$

$$(3.14) \quad (\nabla_X u)(Y) = -u(Y)\tau(X) - w(Y)\phi(X) - B(X, FY) \\ - (\beta + 1)\theta(Y)u(X),$$

$$(3.15) \quad (\nabla_X v)(Y) = v(Y)\tau(X) + w(Y)\rho(X) - g(A_{N_i} X, FY) \\ - \{\alpha\eta(X) + (\beta + 1)v(X)\}\theta(Y).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a semi-symmetric metric connection. If either U or V is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ , then $\tau = 0$ and \bar{M} is an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold, i.e., $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$.*

Proof. (1) If U is parallel with respect to the connection ∇ , then, taking the scalar product with ζ, V and W to (3.10) by turns, we get

$$(3.16) \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -1; \quad \tau = 0; \quad \rho = 0.$$

As $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$, \bar{M} is an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold. Applying F to (3.10): $F(A_N X) = 0$ and using (2.15), (3.5) and (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad A_N X = u(A_N X)U + w(A_N X)W.$$

(2) If V is parallel with respect to ∇ , then, taking the scalar product with ζ, U and W to (3.11) by turns, we have $\beta = -1, \tau = 0$ and $\phi = 0$. Applying F to (3.11): $F(A_\xi^* X) = 0$ and using (3.5) and (3.7)₁, we obtain

$$A_\xi^* X = -\alpha u(X)\zeta + u(A_\xi^* X)U + w(A_\xi^* X)W.$$

Taking the scalar product with U to this equation, we have

$$(3.18) \quad B(X, U) = 0.$$

Replacing X by ζ to (3.18) and using (3.7)₁, we have $\alpha = 0$. Thus

$$(3.19) \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -1, \quad \tau = 0, \quad \phi = 0.$$

As $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$, \bar{M} is an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold. □

4. RECURRENT AND LIE RECURRENT SUBMANIFOLDS

Definition. The structure tensor field F of M is said to be *recurrent* [8] if there exists a 1-form ϖ on M such that

$$(\nabla_X F)Y = \varpi(X)FY.$$

A half lightlike submanifold M of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} is called *recurrent* if it admits a recurrent structure tensor field F .

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a recurrent half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a semi-symmetric metric connection. Then the following five statements are satisfied*

- (1) \bar{M} is an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold, i.e., $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$,
- (2) F is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ on M ,
- (3) M is statical, i.e., $\phi = 0$ and $\rho = 0$,
- (4) H , $J(S(TM^\perp))$ and $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$ are parallel distributions on M ,
- (5) M is locally a product manifold $C_U \times C_W \times M^\sharp$, where C_U is a null curve tangent to $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$, C_W is a spacelike curve tangent to $J(S(TM^\perp))$, and M^\sharp is a leaf of the distribution H .

Proof. (1) From the above definition and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad \varpi(X)FY &= u(Y)A_N X + w(Y)A_L X - B(X, Y)U - D(X, Y)W \\ &\quad + \alpha\{g(X, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)X\} + (\beta + 1)\{\bar{g}(JX, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)FX\}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y by ζ to (4.1) and using (3.5) and (3.7)_{1,2}, we obtain

$$\alpha F^2 X = (\beta + 1)FX.$$

Taking $X = \xi$ to this equation and using the fact that $F\xi = -V$, we have

$$\alpha\xi = (\beta + 1)V.$$

Taking the scalar product with N and U to this equation by turns, we get

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -1.$$

Therefore, \bar{M} is an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold.

- (2) Replacing Y by ξ to (4.1) and using (2.11) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\varpi(X)V + \phi(X)W = 0.$$

Taking the scalar product with U and W to this equation, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \varpi = 0, \quad \phi = 0.$$

As $\varpi = 0$, F is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ on M .

- (3) As $\phi = 0$, M is irrotational. Taking the scalar product with N to (4.1) and using (2.12), (4.2) and (4.3), we get $w(Y)\rho(X) = 0$. It follow that $\rho = 0$. Thus M is solenoidal. Therefore, M is statical.

- (4) Taking the scalar product with V and W to (4.1) by turns, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B(X, Y) &= u(Y)u(A_N X) + w(Y)u(A_L X), \\ D(X, Y) &= u(Y)w(A_N X) + w(Y)w(A_L X). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $Y = V$ and $Y = FZ_o$, $Z_o \in \Gamma(H_o)$ to these two equations by turns, and using the fact that $u(FZ_o) = w(FZ_o) = 0$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad B(X, V) = 0, \quad B(X, FZ_o) = 0; \quad D(X, V) = 0, \quad D(X, FZ_o) = 0.$$

In general, by using (2.8), (2.13), (2.14), (3.9), (3.11), (3.12), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X \xi, V) &= -B(X, V), & g(\nabla_X \xi, W) &= -D(X, V), \\ g(\nabla_X V, V) &= 0, & g(\nabla_X V, W) &= -\phi(X), \\ g(\nabla_X Z_o, V) &= B(X, FZ_o), & g(\nabla_X Z_o, W) &= D(X, FZ_o). \end{aligned}$$

From these equations, (4.3)₂ and (4.4), we see that

$$\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(H), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad \forall Y \in \Gamma(H).$$

It follows that H is a parallel distribution on M .

Taking $Y = U$ and $Y = W$ to (4.1) by turns and using (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_N X &= B(X, U)U + D(X, U)W, \\ A_L X &= B(X, W)U + D(X, W)W. \end{aligned}$$

Applying F to these equations and using the fact $FU = FW = 0$, we get

$$F(A_N X) = 0, \quad F(A_L X) = 0.$$

Using this result, (4.2) and (4.3), Eq.s (3.10) and (3.12) are reduced to

$$(4.5) \quad \nabla_X U = \tau(X)U, \quad \nabla_X W = 0.$$

Thus $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $J(S(TM^\perp))$ are also parallel distributions on M

(5) As H , $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $J(S(TM^\perp))$ are parallel distributions satisfying (3.1), by the de Rham's decomposition theorem [3], M is locally a product manifold $\mathcal{C}_U \times \mathcal{C}_W \times M^\sharp$, where \mathcal{C}_U is a null curve tangent to $J(\text{ltr}(TM))$, \mathcal{C}_W is a spacelike curve tangent to $J(S(TM^\perp))$ and M^\sharp is a leaf of H . \square

Definition. The structure tensor field F of M is said to be *Lie recurrent* [8] if there exists a 1-form ϑ on M such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_X F)Y = \vartheta(X)FY,$$

where \mathcal{L}_X denotes the Lie derivative on M with respect to X , that is,

$$(\mathcal{L}_X F)Y = [X, FY] - F[X, Y].$$

The structure tensor field F is called *Lie parallel* if $\mathcal{L}_X F = 0$. A half lightlike submanifold M of an indefinite Kaehler manifold \bar{M} is called *Lie recurrent* if it admits a Lie recurrent structure tensor field F .

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a Lie recurrent half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric metric connection. Then the following four statements are satisfied*

- (1) $\alpha = 0$ and \bar{M} is an indefinite β -Kenmotsu manifold,
- (2) F is Lie parallel,
- (3) τ and ρ satisfy $\tau \circ F = \rho \circ F = 0$. Moreover, $\tau = -\beta\theta$ on TM ,
- (4) the shape operator A_ξ^* satisfies $A_\xi^*U = 0$ and $A_\xi^*V = 0$.

Proof. (1) Using the above definition, (2.10) and the fact $\theta(FY) = 0$, we get

$$\vartheta(X)FY = (\nabla_X F)Y - \nabla_{FY}X + F\nabla_YX + \theta(Y)FX.$$

Substituting (3.11) into the last equation, we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \vartheta(X)FY &= -\nabla_{FY}X + F\nabla_YX + u(Y)A_NX + w(Y)A_LX \\ &\quad - B(X, Y)U - D(X, Y)W - \beta\theta(Y)FX \\ &\quad + \alpha\{g(X, Y)\zeta - \theta(Y)X\} + (\beta + 1)\bar{g}(JX, Y)\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $Y = \xi$ to (4.6) and using (2.11), we have

$$(4.7) \quad -\vartheta(X)V = \nabla_VX + F\nabla_\xi X + \phi(X)W + (\beta + 1)u(X)\zeta.$$

Taking the scalar product with V , W and ζ to (4.7) by turns, we have

$$(4.8) \quad u(\nabla_VX) = 0, \quad w(\nabla_VX) = -\phi(X), \quad \theta(\nabla_VX) = -(\beta + 1)u(X).$$

Replacing Y by V to (4.6) and using the fact that $\theta(V) = 0$, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \vartheta(X)\xi = -\nabla_\xi X + F\nabla_VX - B(X, V)U - D(X, V)W + \alpha u(X)\zeta.$$

Taking the scalar product with ζ to (4.9) such that $X = U$ and using (3.10), we obtain $\alpha = 0$. Thus \bar{M} is an indefinite β -Kenmotsu manifold

(2) Applying F to (4.9) and using (3.5) and (4.8), we obtain

$$\vartheta(X)V = \nabla_VX + F\nabla_\xi X + \phi(X)U + (\beta + 1)u(X)\zeta.$$

Comparing this equation with (4.7), we get $\vartheta = 0$. Thus F is Lie parallel.

(3) Taking the scalar product with N to (4.7) such that $X = W$ and using (2.12)₂, (2.14) and (3.12), we get $D(U, V) = \rho(\xi)$. Also, taking the scalar product with W to (4.9) such that $X = U$ and using (3.10), we have $D(U, V) = -\rho(\xi)$. Thus $\rho(\xi) = 0$ and $D(U, V) = 0$.

Taking $X = U$ to (4.8)₂ and using (3.10), we get $\rho(V) = -\phi(U)$. Also, taking the product with U to (4.7) such that $X = W$ and using (2.11)₂, (2.13)₂ and (3.12), we get $\rho(V) = \phi(U)$. Thus $\rho(V) = 0$ and $\phi(U) = 0$.

Replacing X by W to (4.8)₁ and using (3.12), we get $\phi(V) = 0$.

Taking the scalar product with W to (4.7) such that $X = \xi$ and using (2.8) and (2.13), we get $B(V, W) = \phi(\xi)$. Also, taking the scalar product with V to (4.8) such that $X = W$ and using (3.12), we have $B(V, W) = -\phi(\xi)$. Thus $\phi(\xi) = 0$ and $B(V, W) = 0$.

Summarizing the above results, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(\xi) = 0, \quad \rho(V) = 0, \quad \phi(U) = 0, \quad \phi(V) = 0, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \\ D(U, V) = B(U, W) = 0, \quad B(V, W) = D(V, V) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with N to (4.6) and using (2.12), we have

$$(4.11) \quad -\bar{g}(\nabla_{FY}X, N) + \bar{g}(\nabla_YX, U) = \beta\theta(Y)v(X) - w(Y)\rho(X).$$

Replacing X by ξ to this and using (2.8), (2.13) and (4.10)₁, we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad B(X, U) = \tau(FX).$$

Taking $X = U$ to (4.12) and using (3.8) and the fact that $FU = 0$, we get

$$(4.13) \quad C(U, V) = B(U, U) = 0.$$

Taking $X = U$ to (4.6) and using (2.14), (2.15), (3.3), (3.5), (3.8), (3.9)_{1,2}, (3.10) and the facts that $\alpha = 0$ and $FU = FW = F\zeta = 0$, we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &u(Y)A_N U + w(Y)A_L U - F(A_N FY) - \tau(FY)U \\ &- \rho(FY)W - A_N Y + (\beta + 1)\eta(Y)\zeta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with V and using (4.10)₆ and (4.13), we get

$$B(X, U) = -\tau(FX).$$

Comparing this equation with (4.12), we obtain $\tau(FX) = 0$.

Replacing X by V to (4.11) and using (3.11) and (4.10)₂, we have

$$B(FY, U) + \beta\theta(Y) = -\tau(Y).$$

Taking $Y = U$, $Y = W$ and $Y = \zeta$ and using $FU = FW = F\zeta = 0$, we get

$$(4.15) \quad \tau(U) = 0, \quad \tau(W) = 0, \quad \tau(\zeta) = -\beta.$$

Taking $X = FY$ to $\tau(FX) = 0$ and using (3.5) and (4.15), we get $\tau = -\beta\theta$.

Replacing Y by W to (4.14), we obtain $A_N W = A_L U$. Taking the scalar product with U to this result and using (2.14), (2.15) and (3.9)₂, we have

$$(4.16) \quad C(W, U) = D(U, U) = C(U, W).$$

Taking the scalar product with W to (4.14) and using (2.14), we have

$$-\rho(FY) = C(Y, W) - u(Y)C(U, W) - w(Y)D(U, W).$$

Taking the scalar product with U to (4.6) such that $X = W$ and using (2.12)₂, (2.14), (3.9)₂, (3.12) and (4.16), we obtain

$$\rho(FY) = C(Y, W) - u(Y)C(U, W) - w(Y)D(U, W).$$

Comparing the last two equations, we obtain $\rho(FY) = 0$.

(4) As $\tau(FX) = 0$, from (2.11) and (4.12), we have $g(A_\xi^*U, X) = 0$, As $S(TM)$ is non-degenerate, we get $A_\xi^*U = 0$. Replacing X by ξ to (4.7) and using (2.8), (2.16), (4.10)₅ and $\tau(FX) = 0$, we obtain $A_\xi^*V = 0$. \square

5. GENERALIZED SASAKIAN SPACE FORMS

Definition. An indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, J, \zeta, \theta, \bar{g})$ is called an *indefinite generalized Sasakian space form* $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ [1] if there exist three smooth functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 on \bar{M} such that

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= f_1\{\bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{X} - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{Y}\} \\ &+ f_2\{\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{Y} - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, J\bar{Z})J\bar{X} + 2\bar{g}(\bar{X}, J\bar{Y})J\bar{Z}\} \\ &+ f_3\{\theta(\bar{X})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{Y} - \theta(\bar{Y})\theta(\bar{Z})\bar{X} \\ &+ \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{Y})\zeta - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\theta(\bar{X})\zeta\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} .

Let \bar{R} be the curvature tensor of the semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on \bar{M} . By directed calculations from (1.1) and (1.2), we see that

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} &= \tilde{R}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})\bar{Z} + \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}}\zeta - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\zeta \\ &+ \{(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{X}}\theta)(\bar{Z}) - \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})\}\bar{Y} - \{(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{Y}}\theta)(\bar{Z}) - \bar{g}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})\}\bar{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by R and R^* the curvature tensors of the induced linear connections ∇ and ∇^* on M and $S(TM)$ respectively. Using the Gauss-Weingarten formulae, we obtain Gauss equations for M and $S(TM)$ respectively:

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + B(X, Z)A_N Y - B(Y, Z)A_N X \\ &\quad + D(X, Z)A_L Y - D(Y, Z)A_L X \\ &\quad + \{(\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z) \\ &\quad + \tau(X)B(Y, Z) - \tau(Y)B(X, Z) \\ &\quad + \phi(X)D(Y, Z) - \phi(Y)D(X, Z) + B(T(X, Y), Z)\}N, \\ &\quad + \{(\nabla_X D)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y D)(X, Z) \\ &\quad + \rho(X)B(Y, Z) - \rho(Y)B(X, Z) + D(T(X, Y), Z)\}L, \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)PZ &= R^*(X, Y)PZ + C(X, PZ)A_\xi^* Y - C(Y, PZ)A_\xi^* X \\ &\quad + \{(\nabla_X C)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y C)(X, PZ) - \tau(X)C(Y, PZ) \\ &\quad + \tau(Y)C(X, PZ) + C(T(X, Y), PZ)\}\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with ξ and N to (5.2) by turns and then, substituting (5.1) and (5.3) and using (2.3), (2.10), (2.12) and (5.4), we get

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\nabla_X B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y B)(X, Z) \\ &+ \{\tau(X) - \theta(X)\}B(Y, Z) - \{\tau(Y) - \theta(Y)\}B(X, Z) \\ &+ \phi(X)D(Y, Z) - \phi(Y)D(X, Z) \\ &+ \alpha\{u(Y)g(X, Z) - u(X)g(Y, Z)\} \\ &= f_2\{u(Y)\bar{g}(X, JZ) - u(X)\bar{g}(Y, JZ) + 2u(Z)\bar{g}(X, JY)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\nabla_X C)(Y, PZ) - (\nabla_Y C)(X, PZ) \\ &- \{\tau(X) + \theta(X)\}C(Y, PZ) + \{\tau(Y) + \theta(Y)\}C(X, PZ) \\ &- \rho(X)D(Y, PZ) + \rho(Y)D(X, PZ) \\ &- \{(\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(PZ) + \beta g(X, PZ)\}\eta(Y) \\ &+ \{(\bar{\nabla}_Y \theta)(PZ) + \beta g(Y, PZ)\}\eta(X) \\ &+ \alpha\{v(Y)g(X, PZ) - v(X)g(Y, PZ)\} \\ &= f_1\{g(Y, PZ)\eta(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta(Y)\} \\ &+ f_2\{v(Y)\bar{g}(X, JPZ) - v(X)\bar{g}(Y, JPZ) + 2v(PZ)\bar{g}(X, JY)\} \\ &+ f_3\{\theta(X)\eta(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta(X)\}\theta(PZ). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a semi-symmetric metric connection. Then the functions α, β, f_1, f_2 and f_3 satisfy*

- (1) α is a constant on M ,
- (2) $\alpha\beta = 0$, and
- (3) $f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2$, $f_1 - f_3 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta\beta$.

Proof. Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\theta(V) = 0$ and using (2.4) and (3.11), we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(V) = (\beta + 1)u(X).$$

Applying ∇_X to (3.9)₁: $B(Y, U) = C(Y, V)$ and using (2.13), (2.15), (3.7)₁, (3.8), (3.9)_{1,2,3}, (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X B)(Y, U) &= (\nabla_X C)(Y, V) - 2\tau(X)C(Y, V) \\ &\quad - \phi(X)D(Y, U) - \rho(X)D(Y, V) \\ &\quad - \alpha(\beta + 1)\{u(Y)v(X) - u(X)v(Y)\} \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 u(Y)\eta(X) - (\beta + 1)^2 u(X)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad - g(A_\xi^* X, F(A_N Y)) - g(A_\xi^* Y, F(A_N X)). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this equation and (3.9)₁ into (5.5) with $Z = U$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla_X C)(Y, V) - (\nabla_Y C)(X, V) \\ &- \{\tau(X) + \theta(X)\}C(Y, V) + \{\tau(Y) + \theta(Y)\}C(X, V) \\ &- \rho(X)D(Y, V) + \rho(Y)D(X, V) \\ &- \alpha(2\beta + 1)\{u(Y)v(X) - u(X)v(Y)\} \\ &- \{\alpha^2 - (\beta + 1)^2\}\{u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y)\} \\ &= f_2\{u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y) + 2\bar{g}(X, JY)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this equation with (5.6) such that $PZ = V$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\{f_1 - f_2 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2\}\{u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y)\} \\ &= 2\alpha\beta\{u(Y)v(X) - u(X)v(Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $Y = U$, $X = \xi$ and $Y = U$, $X = V$ to this by turns, we obtain

$$f_1 - f_2 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \quad \alpha\beta = 0.$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\theta(\zeta) = 1$ and using (2.3), we obtain

$$(5.8) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \theta)(\zeta) = 0.$$

Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\eta(Y) = \bar{g}(Y, N)$ and using (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$(5.9) \quad (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = -g(A_N X, Y) + \tau(X)\eta(Y).$$

Applying ∇_Y to (3.8) and using (3.6), (3.8), (3.15) and (5.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X C)(Y, \zeta) &= -(X\alpha)v(Y) + (X\beta)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad - \alpha\{v(Y)\tau(X) + w(Y)\rho(X) \\ &\quad - g(A_N X, FY) - g(A_N Y, FX) \\ &\quad - \alpha\theta(Y)\eta(X) + \theta(X)v(Y) - \theta(Y)v(X)\} \\ &\quad + (\beta + 1)\{\tau(X)\eta(Y) - g(A_N X, Y) - g(A_N Y, X) \\ &\quad + (\beta + 1)\theta(X)\eta(Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this and (3.8) into (5.6) with $PZ = \zeta$ and using (5.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &-(X\alpha)v(Y) + (Y\alpha)v(X) + (X\beta)\eta(Y) - (Y\beta)\eta(X) \\ &= (f_1 - f_3 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2)\{\theta(Y)\eta(X) - \theta(X)\eta(Y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $Y = \zeta$, $X = \xi$ and $Y = U$, $X = V$ to this by turns, we obtain

$$f_1 - f_3 = \alpha^2 - \beta^2 - \zeta\beta, \quad U\alpha = 0.$$

Applying ∇_Y to (3.7)₁ and using (3.6), (3.7)₁ and (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X B)(Y, \zeta) &= -(X\alpha)u(Y) - (\beta + 1)B(X, Y) \\ &\quad + \alpha\{u(Y)\tau(X) + w(Y)\phi(X) \\ &\quad + \theta(Y)u(X) - \theta(X)u(Y) \\ &\quad + B(X, FY) + B(Y, FX)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this equation and (3.7)₁ into (5.5) with $Z = \zeta$, we obtain

$$(X\alpha)u(Y) = (Y\alpha)u(X).$$

Taking $Y = U$ to this, we get $X\alpha = 0$. Thus α is a constant on M . \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be a Lie recurrent lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a semi-symmetric metric connection. Then $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is a space form such that*

$$f_1 = -\beta^2, \quad f_2 = 0, \quad f_3 = \zeta\beta.$$

Proof. As $\tau(FX) = 0$, from (4.12) we obtain

$$(5.10) \quad B(Y, U) = 0.$$

Applying ∇_X to this and using (3.7)₁, (3.10), (5.10) and $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$(\nabla_X B)(Y, U) = -B(Y, F(A_N X)) - \rho(X)B(Y, W).$$

Substituting the last two equations into (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &B(X, F(A_N Y)) - B(Y, F(A_N X)) + \rho(Y)B(X, W) \\ &- \rho(X)B(Y, W) + \phi(X)D(Y, U) - \phi(Y)D(X, U) \\ &= \frac{c}{4}\{u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y) + 2\bar{g}(X, JY)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and $Y = U$ to this and using (2.11)_{1,2}, (4.10)_{3,5} and (5.10), we get $f_2 = 0$. Thus $f_1 = -\beta^2$ and $f_3 = \zeta\beta$ by Theorem 5.1. \square

Definition. (1) A screen distribution $S(TM)$ is said to be *totally umbilical* [6] if there exists a smooth function γ on a neighborhood \mathcal{U} such that

$$(5.11) \quad C(X, PY) = \gamma g(X, PY).$$

In case $\gamma = 0$, we say that $S(TM)$ is *totally geodesic* in M .

(2) A half lightlike submanifold M is said to be *screen conformal* [6] if there exists a non-vanishing smooth function φ on \mathcal{U} such that

$$(5.12) \quad C(X, PY) = \varphi B(X, Y).$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let M be a half lightlike submanifold of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ with a semi-symmetric metric connection. If one of the following five conditions is satisfied;*

- (1) M is recurrent,
- (2) M is screen conformal,
- (3) $S(TM)$ is totally umbilical,
- (4) U is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ , and
- (5) V is parallel with respect to the induced connection ∇ ,

then $\bar{M}(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ is an indefinite Kenmotsu space form such that

$$\alpha = 0, \quad \beta = -1; \quad f_1 = -1, \quad f_2 = f_3 = 0.$$

Proof. Applying $\bar{\nabla}_X$ to $\theta(U) = 0$ and using (2.4) and (3.10), we obtain

$$(5.13) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(U) = \alpha\eta(X) + (\beta + 1)v(X).$$

(1) As M is recurrent, by Theorem 4.1, we show that $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = -1$ and $\rho = 0$. By directed calculation from (4.5)₁, we obtain

$$(5.14) \quad R(X, Y)U = 2d\tau(X, Y)U.$$

On the other hand, since $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$, we have $\bar{\nabla}_X\zeta = 0$ by (2.3) and $f_1 + 1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1. Comparing the tangential components of the right and left terms of (5.2) and using (5.1) and (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= B(Y, Z)A_N X - B(X, Z)A_N Y \\ &\quad + D(Y, Z)A_L X - D(X, Z)A_L Y \\ &\quad + (\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(Z)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(Z)X \\ &\quad + (f_1 + 1)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &\quad + f_2\{\bar{g}(X, JZ)FY - \bar{g}(Y, JZ)FX + 2\bar{g}(X, JY)FZ\} \\ &\quad + f_3\{\theta(X)\theta(Z)Y - \theta(Y)\theta(Z)X \\ &\quad \quad + \bar{g}(X, Z)\theta(Y)\zeta - \bar{g}(Y, Z)\theta(X)\zeta\}, \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Z by U to this and using (5.13) and (5.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2d\tau(X, Y)U &= B(Y, U)A_N X - B(X, U)A_N Y \\ &\quad + D(Y, U)A_L X - D(X, U)A_L Y \\ &\quad + (f_1 + 1)\{v(Y)X - v(X)Y\} \\ &\quad + f_2\{\eta(X)FY - \eta(Y)FX\} \\ &\quad + f_3\{v(X)\theta(Y) - v(Y)\theta(X)\}\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product with N to this and using (2.12)_{1,2}, we get

$$2f_2\{v(Y)\eta(X) - v(X)\eta(Y)\} = 0.$$

It follow that $f_2 = 0$. Thus $f_1 = -1$ and $f_2 = f_3 = 0$.

(2) Taking $Y = \zeta$ to (5.12) and using (3.7)₁ and (3.8), we obtain

$$\alpha v(X) - (\beta + 1)\eta(X) = \alpha\varphi u(X).$$

Taking $X = V$ and $X = \xi$ by turns, we have $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$. Thus \bar{M} is an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold, and $f_1 + 1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1.

Let $\mu = U - \varphi V$. Then $J\mu = N - \varphi\xi$. Using (3.9) and (5.12), we obtain

$$(5.15) \quad B(X, \mu) = 0, \quad D(X, \mu) = 0.$$

Also, using (5.7), (5.13) and the fact that $\mu = U - \varphi V$, we get

$$(5.16) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(\mu) = 0.$$

Applying ∇_X to $C(Y, PZ) = \varphi B(Y, PZ)$, we have

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, PZ) = (X\varphi)B(Y, PZ) + \varphi(\nabla_X B)(Y, PZ).$$

Substituting this equation and (5.12) into (5.6) and using (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X\varphi - 2\varphi\tau(X)\}B(Y, PZ) - \{Y\varphi - 2\varphi\tau(Y)\}B(X, PZ) \\ & - \{\rho(X) + \varphi\phi(X)\}D(Y, PZ) + \{\rho(Y) + \varphi\phi(Y)\}D(X, PZ) \\ & - \{(\bar{\nabla}_X\theta)(PZ) - g(X, PZ)\}\eta(Y) \\ & + \{(\bar{\nabla}_Y\theta)(PZ) - g(Y, PZ)\}\eta(X) \\ & = f_1\{g(Y, PZ)\eta(X) - g(X, PZ)\eta(Y)\} \\ & + f_2\{[v(Y) - \varphi u(Y)]\bar{g}(X, JPZ) - [v(X) - \varphi u(X)]\bar{g}(Y, JPZ) \\ & \quad + 2[v(PZ) - \varphi u(PZ)]\bar{g}(X, JY)\} \\ & + f_3\{\theta(X)\eta(Y) - \theta(Y)\eta(X)\}\theta(PZ). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing PZ by μ to this and using (5.15) and (5.16), we obtain

$$f_2\{v(Y)\eta(X) - v(X)\eta(Y) - \varphi[u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y)] - 2\varphi\bar{g}(X, JY)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and $Y = V$, we get $f_2 = 0$. Thus $f_1 = -1$ and $f_2 = f_3 = 0$.

(3) If $S(TM)$ is totally umbilical, then, from (3.8) and (5.11), we have

$$\gamma\theta(X) = -\alpha v(X) + (\beta + 1)\eta(X).$$

Taking $X = \zeta$, $X = V$ and $X = \xi$ by turns, we have $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$ respectively. As $\gamma = 0$, $S(TM)$ is totally geodesic in M . As $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = -1$, \bar{M} is an indefinite Kenmotsu manifold, and $f_1 + 1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1. As $C = 0$, taking $PZ = U$ to (5.6) and using (3.9)₂: $D(X, U) = 0$, (5.13) and the fact that $f_1 + 1 = f_2$, we obtain

$$2f_2\{v(Y)\eta(X) - v(X)\eta(Y)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and $Y = V$, we get $f_2 = 0$. Thus $f_1 = -1$ and $f_2 = f_3 = 0$.

(4) If U is parallel with respect to ∇ , then we have (3.16) and (3.17), From (3.16)_{1,2}, we shown that \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. Also, the functions f_1 , f_2 and f_3 satisfy $f_1 + 1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1. Taking the scalar product with U to (3.17), we obtain

$$C(X, U) = 0.$$

Applying ∇_X to $C(Y, U) = 0$ and using the fact that $\nabla_X U = 0$, we have

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, U) = 0.$$

Substituting the last two equations into (5.6) with $PZ = U$ and using (5.13) and the fact that $f_1 + 1 = f_2$, we obtain

$$2f_2\{v(Y)\eta(X) - v(X)\eta(Y)\} = 0.$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and $Y = V$, we get $f_2 = 0$. Thus $f_1 = -1$ and $f_2 = f_3 = 0$.

(5) If V is parallel with respect ∇ , then we have (3.18) and (3.19). From (3.19)_{1,2}, we shown that \bar{M} is an indefinite cosymplectic manifold. Also, the functions f_1 , f_2 and f_3 satisfy $f_1 + 1 = f_2 = f_3$ by Theorem 5.1.

As $B(X, U) = 0$, from (3.9)₁ we obtain

$$(5.17) \quad C(X, V) = 0.$$

By using (3.9)_{1,3} and (5.17), we see that

$$(5.18) \quad D(U, V) = B(U, W) = C(W, V) = 0.$$

Applying ∇_X to $C(Y, V) = 0$ and using the fact that $\nabla_X V = 0$, we have

$$(\nabla_X C)(Y, V) = 0.$$

Substituting the last equation and (5.17) into (5.6) with $PZ = V$ and using (5.7) and the fact that $f_1 + 1 = f_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\rho(X)D(Y, V) + \rho(Y)D(X, V)\} \\ & = f_2\{u(Y)\eta(X) - u(X)\eta(Y) + 2\bar{g}(X, JY)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \xi$ and $Y = U$ and using (2.11)₂, (5.18) and the fact that $\phi = 0$, we get $f_2 = 0$. Thus $f_1 = -1$ and $f_2 = f_3 = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Alegre, D.E. Blair and A. Carriazo, Generalized Sasakian space form, *Israel J. Math.*, **141** (2004), 157-183.
- [2] C. Călin, *Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold*, Thesis, University of Iasi (Romania, 1998).
- [3] G. de Rham, *Sur la réductibilité d'un espace de Riemannian*, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **26** (1952), 328-344.
- [4] K.L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike Submanifolds of codimension 2*, *Math. J. Toyama Univ.* **15** (1992), 59-82.
- [5] K.L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications*, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [6] K.L. Duggal and D.H. Jin, Half-lightlike submanifolds of codimension 2, *Math. J. Toyama Univ.*, **22** (1999), 121-161.
- [7] D.H. Jin, Half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Sasakian manifold, *J. Korean Soc Math. Edu. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math.* **18** (2011), no. 2, 173-183.
- [8] D.H. Jin, Special lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kaehler manifolds, *Filomat*, **30** (2016), no. 7, 1919-1930.
- [9] D.H. Jin, Statical half lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kaehler manifold of a quasi-constant curvature, *Commun. Korean Math.* **31** (2016), no. 2, 365-377.
- [10] D.H. Jin and J.W. Lee, A semi-Riemannian manifold of quasi-constant curvature admits some lightlike submanifolds, *International J. Math. Analysis*, **9** (2015), no. 25, 1215-1229.
- [11] D.N. Kupeli, *Singular Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [12] J.A. Oubina, New classes of almost contact metric structures, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **32** (1985), 187-193.
- [13] K. Yano, *On semi-symmetric metric connection*, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **15** (1970), 1579-1586.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY, GYEONGJU 780-714, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: jindh@dongguk.ac.kr